

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/989,722 | | 11/19/2001 | Avi J. Ashkenazi | P2730P1C63 | 1427 | | |
| 35489 | 7590 | 02/07/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| HELLER : | | · - | | | | | |
| | | 94025-3506 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Communication Re: Appeal | 09/989,722 | BOTSTEIN ET AL. | | | | | |
| | ocimiamoduori (tot. 1 .p.p. t.) | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Sandra Wegert | 1647 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet with | h the correspondence address | | | | | |
| 1. 🔼 TI | he Notice of Appeal filed on <u>12/2/05</u> is not acceptable b | ecause: | | | | | | |
| (a) | (a) it was not timely filed. | | | | | | | |
| (b) | the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not sub- | the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1). | | | | | | |
| (c) | the appeal fee received on was not timely | filed. | | | | | | |
| (d) | the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The a | the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$ | | | | | | |
| (e) | the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3 | 11(a)(1) in that no claim has beer | ı twice rejected. | | | | | |
| (f) | a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by t | he Office on | | | | | | |
| 2. 🗆 Tł | he appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable for the | e reason(s) indicated below: | | | | | | |
| (a) | | | | | | | | |
| (b) | | • • | (2) | | | | | |
| (c) | | . , | • | | | | | |
| re appeals w Examiner doe Lumber all oth Phich may be | other: Appellant indicates in the Brief that there a which may be related to, directly affect or be directly affect on the application numbers. Appellant is required prior and pending appeals, interferences or judicial a related to, directly affect or be directly affected by or head to the application will be discussed unless that the applications are the applications and the applications are the applications and the applications are the | ected by or have a bearing on the vired to submit a statement identi proceedings known to appellant, vave a bearing on the Board's de | e Board's decision in the pending appeal. The fying by application, patent, appeal or interference the appellant 's legal representative, or assignee cision in the pending appeal. | | | | | |
| brief and See 37 (| teal in this application will be dismissed unless conducted requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions CFR 41.37(e). | | | | | | | |
| | ne appeal in this application is DISMISSED because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) | the statutory fee for filing the brief as required und period for obtaining an extension of time to file the | | | | | | | |
| (b) | the brief was not timely filed and the period for ob CFR 1.136(a) has expired. | taining an extension of time to fil | e the brief under 37 | | | | | |
| (c) | a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under | er 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on | · | | | | | |
| (d) | other: | | | | | | | |
| 4. □ Be | ecause of the dismissal of the appeal, this application: | | | | | | | |
| (a) | is abandoned because there are no allowed claim | 15. | | | | | | |
| (b) | is before the examiner for final disposition because on the merits remains CLOSED. | se it contains allowed claims. Pro | osecution | | | | | |
| (c) | is before the examiner for consideration. | | | | | | | |
| | | JANE SUPERVISOF | ET L. ANDRES RY PATENT EXAMINER | | | | | |